

## POINTS BY CATEGORY

### Comparison of Points in the 2015 QAP and Approved 2016 QAP

CURRENT SET ASIDES:

\* 50 / 50 SPLIT State and Federal Public Housing and General Class

2016 Qualified Allocation Plan Point Categories	2015 Max Points	2016 Change	2016 Max Points
<b>Rental Affordability</b>	<b>37</b>		<b>33</b>
a. Supportive Housing Units	6		6
Veterans Supportive Housing	1	-1	0
b. Serves Households Below 25% AMI	7		7
c. Serves Households 25% to 50% AMI	6		6
d. Mixed Income Housing	5		5
e. Preserves At-Risk Affordable Housing	5		5
Provides Housing for Veterans	2	-2	0
f. Production and Preservation of Units	4	-1	3
g. On-site Resident Services Coordinator	1		1
<b>Financial Sustainability</b>	<b>23</b>		<b>26</b>
a. Cost Effectiveness, Hard Costs	6		6
b. Credits Per Qualified Bedroom	5		5
c. Credits <50% of Total Uses	4		4
d. Building Plans and Specs (≥90% complete)	3		3
e. Sustainable Design (energy saving measures)	3	3	6
f. Cost Effectiveness, Intermediary Costs	2		2
<b>Municipal Commitment &amp; Impact</b>	<b>22</b>		<b>18</b>
a. Priority Location (C&D Plan)	5		5
b. Village Priority Funding Area (C&D Plan)	5	-3	2
c. Transit Oriented Development	4		4
d. Family Developments	0	1	1
e. Signed Agreements	3	-2	1
f. Historic Place/Adaptive Re-Use/Brownfield Dev	2		2
g. Qualified Census Tract	1		1
h. Municipal Resources Committed	1		1
i. Eventual Homeownership (Moved to Threshold)	1		1
<b>Opportunity Characteristics</b>	<b>7</b>		<b>14</b>
Municipalities with less Assisted and Deed			
a. Restricted Housing	7	-1	6
Development Located in an Area of Opportunity			
b. (Up to 8 points based on 5 criteria)	0	8	8
<b>Qualifications &amp; Experience</b>	<b>11</b>		<b>11</b>
a. Experience of Sponsor/GP	5		5
b. Developer/Sponsor Resources	3		3
c. Women and Minority Participation	2		2
d. Connecticut-Based Contractor	1		1
<b>SSHP Developments - Additional Points</b>	<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>
SSHP Developments TY2019 or sooner			
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>102</b>		<b>104</b>